

A&A manuscript no. (will be inserted by hand later)
Your thesaurus codes are: 13 (13.07.1; 13.07.3;)

Comments on the paper “Polarimetric Constraints on the Optical Afterglow Emission from GRB 990123” by Hjorth et al. (Science, 26 1999)

Abhas Mitra

Theoretical Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre
 Mumbai 400085, India, amitra@apsara.barc.ernet.in

Received ; Accepted

Abstract. GRB 990123 is the most luminous event detected so far, and in an important paper, Hjorth et al. (1999) reported an upper limit on the degree of linear polarization of the optical afterglow for this burst ($P < 2.3\%$). One of the interpretations for this small value of P was that the emission was probably due a relativistic jet with ordered magnetic field, and the viewing angle in the lab frame $\theta' \lesssim \Gamma^{-1}$, where Γ is the bulk Lorentz factor of the jet at the time of the optical emission. We point out that this conclusion resulted from a confusion between the angles measured in the lab frame and in the plasma rest frame. For ordered magnetic field, one would actually obtain a large value of P because the above mentioned angle would correspond to a very large angle, $\theta \lesssim \pi/2$, in the plasma rest frame. And this is probably the case with the blazars. On the other hand, it is indeed possible to have $P \approx 0$ if the magnetic field of GRB 990123 was completely chaotic and the viewing angle was considerably smaller than the semi-angle of the jet.

Key words: Gamma rays: bursts – Gamma rays: theory

The above mentioned paper by Hjorth et al. (1999) is very important in that it imposes an upper limit on the degree of linear polarization ($P < 2.3\%$) for the optical afterglow of most luminous Gamma Ray Burst 990123. When corrected for various effects which might affect the true intrinsic polarization, this paper gave a value of $P = 0.0 \pm 1.4\%$, and concluded that “this is consistent with no linear polarization”, and an upper limit of $P < 2.3\%$ was set at 95% confidence limit.

Hjorth et al. then attempted to interpret this result. Since a spherical fireball too can develop a somewhat clumpy magnetic field structure and may result in a significant value of $P < 10\%$ (Gruzinov & Waxman 1999), Hjorth noted that the observed low value of P is consistent with emission from a spherical fireball. Then they also explored whether such a low value of P is consistent with emission from a narrow ultra relativistic jet. Specifically, they considered the possibility whether the observed value of P could be very low if the jet is observed at an angle $\theta' \lesssim \Gamma^{-1}$ where Γ is the bulk Lorentz factor of the jet at the time of optical emission. The reason that they considered this particular value of θ' is the following: For a random GRB event, “for smaller viewing angles, the solid angle decreases and for larger angles the flux drops”. At the time of the optical emission, the estimated value of $\Gamma \sim 10 - 20$ and the corresponding $\theta' \sim 3^\circ - 6^\circ$. To seek an answer to the question mentioned above, Hjorth et al. banked on a work by Celloti & Matt (1994, CM) 1994. From Fig. 2 & 3 of CM, Hjorth et al. concluded that the value of $P \approx 0$ for $\theta' \sim 3^\circ - 6^\circ$, :

“The measured value of polarization is therefore consistent with a small angle between the jet axis and our line of sight”.

We would like to point out here that while arriving at this conclusion, Hjorth et al. confused between the *angle measured in the observer’s frame* (θ') and the angle measured *in the rest frame of the plasma* emitting the radiation (θ). The abscissa of Figs. 2 and 3 in CM is θ and not θ' contrary to what has been considered by Hjorth et al. For small θ' , these two angles are connected by the well known special relativistic formula

$$\sin \theta = \frac{2\Gamma\theta'}{1 + \Gamma^2\theta'^2} \quad (1)$$

Thus for $\theta' \lesssim \Gamma^{-1}$, $\theta \lesssim \pi/2$! In other words, in plasma rest frame, the small lab frame angle translates into a very large value. Then the Figs. 2 and 3 of CM would suggest a large value of $P \sim 22\%$ rather than $P \approx 0\%$. In the most popular classification scheme of the Active Galactic Nuclei, the viewing angle is smallest for the radio selected blazars and they are indeed found to have very high values of $P \sim 10 - 40\%$. In fact this point was clearly mentioned by CM:

“As already mentioned, relativistic beaming effects, which are believed to affect the emission of blazars, imply that the observed radiation can be emitted at large angles in the plasma frame, even if the line of sight is close to the jet axis. Therefore, these sources could also show high X-ray polarization.”

Thus, the interpretation by Hjorth et al., in the framework of the work by CM, that the absence of polarization is consistent with a jet interpretation is incorrect and resulted from a confusion between angles measured in the lab frame and plasma rest frame. For the so-called galactic micro-quasars, it is believed that the viewing angle of the jet is larger as compared to the radio selected blazars. The micro-quasars too display a fairly

high value of $P \sim 10 - 15\%$ which is however, smaller than the average linear polarization observed by the radio-selected blazars (Mirabel & Rodriguez 1999). And thus these two objects broadly support a scheme in which the degree of linear polarization increases with decreasing viewing angle of the relativistic jet. Physically this implies that a relativistic turbulent astrophysical jets may be endowed with some ordered magnetic field.

Having said this, we emphasize that, if one moves away from the uniform magnetic field configuration of CM, it might indeed be possible that $P \approx 0$ for a very small θ' for the highly unusual case where there is no large scale ordered magnetic either along the axis of the jet or in a direction perpendicular to it (in such a case, however, it would be difficult to understand the phenomenon of jet confinement and acceleration). In particular, if the magnetic field lies in a plane perpendicular to the jet axis and is disordered on large scale, one would obtain zero linear polarization if the jet is viewed along the jet axis. This would be so because there would be total (axial) symmetry around the viewing direction. However, even in this case, if the jet is viewed off-axis, there would be a temporally variable finite P which can be parameterized as (Ghisellini & Lazzati 1999)

$$P_{max} \approx 0.19P_0 \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_c} \right) \left(0.05 \leq \frac{\theta}{\theta_c} \leq 1; 1^\circ \leq \theta_c \leq 15^\circ \right) \quad (2)$$

Here $P_0 \sim 60 - 70\%$ is the intrinsic synchrotron polarization, θ_c is the semi-angle of the jet, and a radiation spectral index of 0.6 is assumed. Also, now, we have dropped the prime from the lab frame angle. Thus the value can reach $\sim 10\%$ if the jet is viewed along its edge. This conclusion is reinforced by model of relativistic jet acceleration by supposed completely tangled magnetic field (Heinz & Begelman 1999).

However if supposed GRB jets are to be modelled on their AGN counterparts, it may be necessary to consider the existence of some ordered magnetic field in order to understand jet collimation away from the central engine, and one may look forward to detect even much higher value of P .

Coming back to the work of CM, it dealt with self Synchrotron Compton model of radiation emission, whereas GRBs afterglows are generally explained as direct Synchrotron emission. For a given magnetic field configuration, the polarization is in general higher in the latter case. To conclude, although the result obtained by Hjorth et al. that $P < 2.3\%$ for the optical afterglow of GRB 990123 is important, the interpretation that this low value of P could be understood if the viewing angle is $\lesssim \Gamma^{-1}$ is incorrect if there is an ordered magnetic field in the jet. On the other hand, such a low value of P may occur if the jet magnetic field is completely tangled with no component of field along the axis, and if the viewing angle is much smaller than the semi-angle of the jet. If we accept this latter interpretation, we need to understand the following: If there is usually an ordered magnetic field, over and above small scale chaotic magnetic field, in relativistic turbu-

lent plasma associated with blazars on scales of ~ 100 pc or more and for the so called micro-quasars, why the relativistic plasma responsible for the emission of GRB 990123 was completely turbulent on all scales. Considering all such aspects, it seems that the afterglow of GRB 990123 was more likely to be quasispherical rather than jet type. This is so because even for a quasispherical afterglow, the observed spectral steepening can be explained if it were propagating within a thick presupernova wind (Dai & Lu 1999). Yet, we caution that no definitive stand should be taken at this juncture. A broader discussion on the topic of jet collimation, acceleration, magnetic field generation and expected degree of linear polarization is beyond the scope of this research note.

References

- Celotti, A. & Matt, G. 1994, MNRAS, 268 451
Dai, Z.G. & Lu, T., 1999, ApJ, 519, L155
Ghisellini, G. & Lazzati, D. 1999, MNRAS, 309, L7
Gruzinov, A. & Waxman, E. 1999, ApJ, 511, 852
Heinz, S. & Begelman, L. 1999, ApJ (submitted) (astro-ph/9912429)
Hjorth, J. et al. 1999, Sci., 283, 2073
Mirabel, I.F. & Rodriguez, L.F. 1999, ARA&A (in press) (astro-ph/9902062)